

PROPOSED 2003 CITY OF SEATAC COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS

PRELIMINARY DOCKET STAFF REPORT

Preliminary Docket Criteria: Proposal/Existing/Applicant	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Adequately Served by Sewer/Water/Roads	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Site is Physically Suited for the Anticipated Development	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Will not Create Pressure to Change Designations of Other Properties Unless in the Public Interest	Consistent with GMA and CWPPs	Proposed/ Denied in Previous 2 Years	Not in conflict with an adopted Comprehensive Plan Policy; not redundant with, or duplicative of, an adopted Comprehensive Plan Policy; not clearly out of character with Comprehensive Plan goals.	Recommendation	Supplemental Information Needs?
<u>Map Amendment #1</u> <u>Proposal:</u> Revise Phasing Map, in two areas. Map # 1-A shows the proposed change in the S. 170 th St./32 nd Ave. S area; Map 1-B shows the proposed change in the southeast corner of the City. <u>Applicant:</u> City of SeaTac	✓ The properties are served by sewer, water and roads.	✓ There is no specific development associated with the proposed amendment.	✓ Will not create pressure to change designations of other properties.	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ Proposal was not submitted in last 2 years.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Commission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	None.
<u>Land Use Element – Text Amendment #1</u> <u>Proposal:</u> Complete revision of growth forecasts and make related text changes. <u>Applicant:</u> City of SeaTac	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ Proposal was partially completed last year but lacked forecast information, which is now available.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Commission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	None.

Preliminary Docket Criteria: Proposal/Existing/Applicant	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Adequately Served by Sewer/Water/ Roads	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Site is Physi- cally Suited for the Anticipated Development	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Will not Create Pressure to Change Designations of Other Properties Unless in the Public Interest	Consistent with GMA and CWPPs	Proposed/ Denied in Previous 2 Years	Not in conflict with an adopted Comprehensive Plan Policy; not redundant with, or duplicative of, an adopted Comprehensive Plan Policy; not clearly out of character with Compre- hensive Plan goals.	Recommendation	Supplemental Information Needs?
<u>Housing & Neighborhoods Element – Text Amendment #2</u> <u>Proposal:</u> Complete Housing needs assessment and make related text changes. <u>Applicant:</u> City of SeaTac	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ Proposal was partially completed last year but lacked forecast in- formation, which is now available.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Com- mission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	None.
<u>Capital Facilities Element – Text Amendment #3</u> <u>Proposal:</u> Update Capital Fa- cilities Background Report (6- year CFP). <u>Applicant:</u> City of SeaTac	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ This is an annual amendment to keep the CFP current.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Com- mission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	Project informa- tion from De- partments; budget informa- tion from Fi- nance.
<u>Environmental Management Element – Text Amendment #4</u> <u>Proposal:</u> Include discussion and sources of best available science used to implement Critical Areas goals and poli- cies. <u>Applicant:</u> City of SeaTac	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ Proposal was not sub- mitted in last 2 years.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Com- mission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	Dept. of Ecology document due to be released in July; update to <u>Wetland Buffers- Use and Effec- tiveness 1992.</u>

Preliminary Docket Criteria: Proposal/Existing/Applicant	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Adequately Served by Sewer/Water/ Roads	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Site is Physi- cally Suited for the Anticipated Development	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Will not Create Pressure to Change Designations of Other Properties Unless in the Public Interest	Consistent with GMA and CWPPs	Proposed/ Denied in Previous 2 Years	Not in conflict with an adopted Comprehensive Plan Policy; not redundant with, or duplicative of, an adopted Comprehensive Plan Policy; not clearly out of character with Compre- hensive Plan goals.	Recommendation	Supplemental Information Needs?
<u>Economic Vitality Element – Text Amendment #5</u> <u>Proposal:</u> Amend Economic Vitality Element to incorporate Economic Development Work Plan from STEP/Tourism ef- forts. <u>Applicant:</u> City of SeaTac	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ Proposal was not sub- mitted in last 2 years.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Com- mission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	None.
<u>Utilities Element – Text Amendment #6</u> <u>Proposal:</u> Incorporate new demographic data into Back- ground Report to update de- mand/capacity analyses; make related text amendments. <u>Applicant:</u> City of SeaTac	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ Proposal was not sub- mitted in last 2 years.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Com- mission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	Contact with Utilities for cur- rent system de- tail.
<u>Introduction – Text Amendment #7</u> <u>Proposal:</u> Update Demo- graphic Summary with U.S. Census and forecast informa- tion; make related text changes. <u>Applicant:</u> City of SeaTac	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ Proposal was not sub- mitted in last 2 years.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Com- mission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	None.

Preliminary Docket Criteria: Proposal/Existing/Applicant	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Adequately Served by Sewer/Water/ Roads	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Site is Physi- cally Suited for the Anticipated Development	<u>MAP CHANGES ONLY</u> Will not Create Pressure to Change Designations of Other Properties Unless in the Public Interest	Consistent with GMA and CWPPs	Proposed/ Denied in Previous 2 Years	Not in conflict with an adopted Comprehensive Plan Policy; not redundant with, or duplicative of, an adopted Comprehensive Plan Policy; not clearly out of character with Compre- hensive Plan goals.	Recommendation	Supplemental Information Needs?
<u>All Elements – Text Amendment #8</u> <u>Proposal</u> : Update Strategies to indicate completed strategies, and update timing when neces- sary. <u>Applicant</u> : City of SeaTac	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓ Consistent with GMA and CWPPs.	✓ Proposal was not sub- mitted in last 2 years.	✓ Not in conflict.	Planning Com- mission: Include in final docket. Staff: Include in final docket.	None.

G:\GROUP\PLANNING\Comp Plan\Compplan Amendments\2003\Preliminary Docket\2003 Prelim Docket Staff Rpt 1.doc